

Method for evaluating the operating conditions of a machine or an installation

The invention relates to a method for evaluating the operating
5 conditions of a machine or an installation.

Until now the operating conditions of a machine or an installation
were evaluated using visual extrapolations of a, for example,
critical measurement value pattern and/or subjective evaluations of
10 the impact on parameters of the machine or the installation, in
order to estimate the operating conditions of a machine or an
installation and to respond accordingly by changing or otherwise
influencing the parameter according to the evaluation, for example
by predetermining a target value for parameters.

15 In this process adjustment/regression functions of a database were
adjusted and an optimization process was carried out by iterative
selection of curve functions, with the maximum correlation
coefficient. The curvature pattern of such an adjusted curve does
20 not necessarily have to correspond to that of the database. The
correlation coefficient r (maximum value = 1, minimum value = -1)
can only be used as an adjustment quality criterion under certain
conditions, as this value depends not only on the adjustment quality
25 of the curve function used but also on the gradient of the curve
function used. If the gradient, for example, of a linear adjustment
tends toward zero, r also follows this trend, regardless of the
scatter of the individual curve points.

This means that r cannot be used as a measure of quality for an
30 extrapolation.

Generation tools for evaluating the operating conditions of a
machine or an installation have to satisfy certain minimum
requirements, so that an evaluation of the extrapolation result is
35 possible in respect of

- Predictive reliability
- Variables influencing predictive dependability
- Traceability of the prediction.

5 Naturally any prediction is subject to uncertainty and its measure
of quality for assessing forecast alarms/exceeded limit values and
as a basis for decision for resulting, e.g. automated, actions is
extremely important. In addition, in the case of a cyclically
generated method for evaluating the operating conditions of a
10 machine or an installation in a specific, e.g. measurement context,
the change in a measure of quality over time can also be seen as a
trend and can therefore also offer additional conclusions about the
time of occurrence of the forecast event, e.g. the exceeding of a
limit value, in order to ensure the operational dependability of the
15 machine or the installation.

Estimating the reliability of an extrapolation is of central
significance, as evaluations of damage symptoms, the exceeding of
limit values and operational optimization, e.g. replacement of parts
20 is not really possible without knowledge of the predictive
reliability, in other words the measure of quality.

An evaluation of the operating conditions of a machine or an
installation, in which a trend in the further changes of parameters
25 is analyzed, is very important in respect of problem solving in
tasks such as operation monitoring or system analysis.
These methods are used wherever extrapolations into unknown value
ranges from known laws are of significance, in other words
essentially when predicting events (identification of future
30 alarms/exceeded load values) and damage prevention (identification
of future damage).

As with measurement values, these are practically worthless without details of measurement tolerance, a variable must be associated with an extrapolation, allowing conclusions to be drawn about predictive quality (measure of quality). Without this information extrapolation 5 results cannot be properly evaluated and decisions on follow-up actions (e.g. process interventions) are extremely unreliable and in some circumstances counterproductive.

The object of the invention is therefore to eliminate this problem.

10

This object is achieved by means of a method according to Claim 1.

Further advantageous embodiments are listed in the subclaims.

15 Optimal adjustment of the extrapolation function in respect of the database is achieved not by a maximum correlation coefficient r but using a measure of quality K , which is independent of the gradient of a curve of adjustment in respect of a database.

20 The measure of quality K is calculated from at least two different subcriteria and this provides conclusions about the predictive quality of the extrapolation. The existence of K allows at least two extrapolation modes:

25 • Predetermination of a constant measure of quality K

In this case the extent of the extrapolation, i.e. the distance between the last value in the database and an end point of the extrapolation, is variable.

30

This procedure is important when suppressing incorrect or insignificant alarms.

Here an operator or technical installation can be informed of the period for which no alarm will occur, i.e. a specific operational
5 dependability is specified.

- Predetermination of the extent of extrapolation (e.g. when a limit value is exceeded)
- 10 In this case K is variable. The change to K over time can in turn be used as a basis for a trend analysis, in order to estimate the probable time of occurrence of the event when K = 1.

15 Trend analyses to evaluate the operating conditions of a machine or an installation can generally extrapolate the change in two correlated variables into unknown value ranges.

They can be applied both to changes over time

$$y_i = f(t_i); \quad i = 1 \dots n$$

Example $P_{el} = f(t)$; P_{el} = generator output

20 and formally time-independent associations

$$y_{1i} = f(y_{2i}); \quad i = 1 \dots n, \quad y_1 \text{ synchronous with } y_2$$

Example $P_{el} = f(\text{fuel mass flow})$.

25 The use of trend analyses however implicitly assumes that conditions of laws that can be derived from the past for changes in two correlated variables also continue in the future and unknown value associations can be generated in this way. For meaningful trend analyses, this condition requires that these can only be generated with patterns which were generated under identical (standardized)
30 basic conditions - physical environmental

conditions, fuel, output, burner operating mode, etc. Value associations standardized in this way can be supplied either by data selection using logical conditions (discrete standardization) or using a process simulation model (analytical standardization), which
5 can for example calculate all environment-dependent values back to ISO conditions.

The figures show

- 10 Figure 1 a database and extrapolation curve
- Figure 2 curves to determine ΔI
- Figure 3 segmentation of a database
- Figure 4 a pattern over time of K
- Figure 5 a turbine with components
- 15 Figure 6 a simplified diagram of a longitudinal section of a turbine and
- Figure 7 a turbine blade as a component.

Basic variables for calculating measure of quality K

- 20
- The measure of quality K is a measure of the quality of an extrapolation. It links a number of error influences and is therefore a function of at least two variables, e.g. V, ΔI .
In this case these are for example a ratio V, an x uncertainty ΔI , a
25 continuity S and a time constant C:

$$K = f(V, \Delta I, S, C).$$

- These variables are for example linked so that the value repertoire
30 of K is within a standardized range, e.g. 0% to 100%.

- Ratio V of the data range to the extrapolation range

There are n measurement points (x_1, y_1) to (x_n, y_n) available for the extrapolation. However not all the available measurement points 15

5 of a data repertoire 54 (Fig. 3) have to be used.

The ratio V for example inserts the x value range (x_1-x_n) of the database 6 used for the extrapolation into the ratio of the distance x_1 to the x position of the extrapolation point x_s used (Fig. 1), i.e. the extrapolation range 9, into a ratio, which for example aims 10 as a maximum toward the value 1, i.e. the database 6 is equal to the extrapolation range and as a minimum toward the value 0, i.e. the database 6 is very small compared with the extrapolation interval. x_s is for example a value, at which a limit value ($y_s = \text{limit value}$) is exceeded.

15 Figure 1 also shows an adjustment function 12 in respect of the database 6, which also gives the extrapolation to the x point x_s .

V is for example calculated as follows:

$$20 \quad V = (x_n - x_1) / (x_s - x_1).$$

If V essentially contributes to a reduction in the measure of quality K, the database of the extrapolation can gradually be extended.

25

- Uncertainty ΔI of the adjustment curve in the x direction

Every curve adjustment (condition for extrapolation) naturally also has an uncertainty (confidence range) of the curve parameter 30 calculated.

In order to determine the uncertainty of the gradient, in Figure 2 for example a linear regression function 21 is used.

Generally all functions, which can be transferred to linear structures, can be used as extrapolation functions, i.e.

35

Linear function (master function)	$\rightarrow y = a_0 + a_1 \cdot x$
Potency function	$\rightarrow \ln y = \ln a_0 + a_1 \cdot \ln x$
Logarithmic function	$\rightarrow y = a_0 + a_1 \cdot \ln x$
Exponential function	$\rightarrow \ln y = \ln a_0 + a_1 \cdot x$
etc.	

5

The uncertainty ΔI is then calculated as follows.

Figure 2 shows an example of a database 6 with an extrapolation curve 21 and with further curves 24, 27 for calculating ΔI .

10

The uncertainty ΔI can be illustrated by rotating the adjustment curve 21 (shown in a linear manner) about a point of rotation (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) .

The mean values \bar{x} and \bar{y} are for example determined by geometric or arithmetic means. The point (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) does not necessarily have to lie on the linear adjustment curve 21 (extrapolation curve).

15

The uncertainty of a gradient of the linear curve 21 corresponds to an angular rotation, with which the gradient m changes by $\pm \Delta m$ and therefore an x uncertainty ΔI of the adjustment curve, in the x direction for example (Fig. 2).

20 Varying the gradient results in two further curves 24, 27, each of which has a point of intersection 30, 33 with a parallel 18 ($y_s = \text{constant}$), which corresponds to a limit value. For each point of intersection 30, 33, there is a corresponding x value I_{\max} and I_{\min} , whereby $I_{\max} > I_{\min}$ and $\Delta I = I_{\max} - I_{\min}$.

25 This x uncertainty correlates to an intersection angle α , which is determined from the angle between the parallel 18 and the curve at the point of intersection of the extrapolation curve 21 and the parallel 18. The x uncertainty ΔI increases as the intersection angle α reduces.

30 If there is no limit value or no limit value is exceeded with an extrapolation, the above applies to the predetermined x end point

(x_s) of the extrapolation and an imaginary parallel to the x axis, which runs through the point (x_s, y_s) .

As the extrapolation function always exists analytically, the

- 5 intersection angle α between a horizontal or alarm function and the extrapolation function can be calculated using the first derivative.

The approach to calculating the gradient uncertainty $\pm \Delta m$ is for example as follows:

- 10 A gradient b is determined by means of a confidence interval. Such a method is known from Kreyszig, Erwin: "Statistische Methoden und ihre Anwendungen" [Statistical methods and their applications], published by Vandenhoeck und Ruprecht, Göttingen, page 270.

- 15 The following procedure is used to determine the gradient b :

- a) A database 6 is established. The database 6 comprises n correlated x and y values (Fig. 2).

- 20 b) Calculation of \bar{x} and \bar{y} of the database 6 and the variable $\sum x_i y_i$

c) Calculation of $S_{xy} = \frac{1}{n-1} \left(\sum x_i y_i - \bar{x} \bar{y} \right)$ ($i = 1 \dots n$)

25 d) Calculation of $S_{x^2} = \frac{1}{n-1} \left(\sum x_i^2 - \bar{x}^2 \right)$ ($i = 1 \dots n$)

e) Calculation of $S_{y^2} = \frac{1}{n-1} \left(\sum y_i^2 - \bar{y}^2 \right)$ ($i = 1 \dots n$)

f) The gradient b is obtained from $b = \frac{S_{xy}}{S_x^2}$

g) Calculation of $a = (n-1)(S_y^2 - b^2 S_x^2)$

The following equation is obtained for the regression line 21

$$y = \bar{y} + b(x - \bar{x}).$$

5

Next a confidence factor of for example 95% is predetermined,

i.e. $\gamma = 0.95$, from which a variable F (c) is calculated:

10 h) $F(c) = \frac{1}{2}(1+\gamma) = 0.975$

i) With $F(c) = 0.975$ and $n-2$ (n = number of measurement values) degrees of freedom, the t-distribution (student distribution) gives a value c

15 (0.975 corresponds to the integral of the t-distribution to the point $x = c$).

Δm is obtained from

20 j)
$$\frac{c\sqrt{a}}{S_x \sqrt{(n-1)(n-2)}}$$

This gives an uncertainty of the gradient m:

$$b - \Delta m \leq m \leq b + \Delta m.$$

25

This calculates the x uncertainty ΔI of the gradient m in the point of rotation (Fig. 2) depending on the scatter variables of the current database 6.

As a result $\Delta I = f(\Delta m, \alpha)$ can be calculated using radiation or goniometry.

ΔI can be standardized similarly.

- Continuity S of the y values in the database

Segmentation of a database 6 provides prior conclusions about the current direction of curvature of the database 6 used.

5 The database 6 is a true or false subset of a data repertoire 54 comprising all available measurement points 15.
Segmentation means that measurement points, i.e. numeric tuples (x,y) of the database 6 are divided into at least three segments 45, 48, 52. A linear adjustment curve 36, 39, 42 is determined for
10 each segment 44, 48, 52.

The direction of curvature of the database 6 is determined by creating segment means g₁, g₂ and g₃ and calculating the second numerical derivative.

15 With this method it can be assumed that the adjustment curve (extrapolation curve) is calculated cyclically from a floating database 6, i.e. a data window 3 (Fig. 3) of constant or variable length is displaced after each completed extrapolation cycle in the direction of increasing variables by a predetermined interval
20 Δx (independent variable) in each instance.

In practice the displacement Δx of the data window 3, i.e. the database 6, can be effected to the maximum in the time cycle, with which new measurement values 15 are generated (e.g. Δx = 5 s).

25 The concept of continuity S in this context is not to be understood as a mathematical definition but as a measure of the change in the point pattern of the database 6 in relation to the last completed extrapolation stage.

In order to be able to make a statement about the continuity of the Y value change and therefore implicitly a statement about the 30 extrapolability of the change, the data window 3 (Fig. 3) is divided into at least three segments 45, 48, 52. In an intermediate stage, a mean and a linear adjustment function y₁, y₂, y₃ with the gradients c₁, c₂, c₃ are calculated for each segment 45, 48, 52 (Fig. 3).

35 If the means of the three regression lines 36, 39, 42 are

designated as g_1 , g_2 (middle segment) and g_3 , the current direction of curvature of the gradient pattern can be determined with the numerical curvature measurement p

$$p = g_1 - 2*g_2 + g_3.$$

5

In the example in Fig. 3 q is negative. This means a right curvature.

With $p = 0$ the three means lie on a straight line. From a curve repertoire, that curve type is adjusted iteratively in respect of
10 the value range of the entire current database.

Adjustment functions (regression functions) of the curve repertoire for the extrapolation curve for data correlations have to satisfy the monotonous pattern condition, as non-monotonous functions can
15 result in significant extrapolation uncertainties. Generally all functions which can be transferred to linear structures of the form
 $y = a_0 + a_1*x$ can be used, i.e.

Linear function (master function)	$\rightarrow f(x) = y = a_0 + a_1*x$
Potency function	$\rightarrow f(x) = \ln y = \ln a_0 + a_1 * \ln x$
Logarithmic function	$\rightarrow f(x) = y = a_0 + a_1 * \ln x$
Exponential function	$\rightarrow f(x) = \ln y = \ln a_0 + a_1 * x$
etc.	

25 The curve type selected from the curve repertoire must satisfy the following conditions:

the direction of curvature of the extrapolation curve (regression lines) must correspond to that of p ;

30 the quotient Q_k of numerator = mean (weighted if necessary) of the distance squares between measurement values and extrapolation curve, and denominator = mean square of the y value range of the extrapolation curve in the area of the data window (here for example $y^2_{mitt,k} = [(y_{max,k} + y_{min,k})/2]^2$, where y_{max} is the maximum Y value and
35 y_{min} correspondingly is the minimum Y value of the k th curve) and is used for standardization) must be minimal;

$$Q_k = f(k) = \frac{\sum w_i * (y_i(x_i) - f_k(x_i))^2}{y_{mitt_k}^2 * \sum w_i}$$

where

k is a numerator of the available extrapolation curve types (curve
5 repertoire)

$y_i(x_i)$ is the measurement value at point x_i

$f_k(x_i)$ is the function value of the kth extrapolation curve type at
point x_i

w_i is a weighting factor for each individual measurement value or for
10 all measurement values of a segment.

The continuity S is evaluated as follows:

Comparison of the three straight line gradients C₁, C₂, C₃ with the
gradients of the extrapolation curve at the respective middle

15 positions (X_{s1}, X_{s2}, X_{s3}) of the three segments of the data window. A
different weighting of the three gradient differences is possible
and expedient here. In this way the more current values in the last
segment can be evaluated more stringently, in order to identify a
change in the curve pattern more rapidly.

20 The three gradient differences are a measure of the continuity of
change in the curve pattern.

S is calculated as follows:

O₁ to O₃ are the three gradients of the selected kth adjustment curve

25 36, 39, 42 in relation to the half segment width in each instance,

C₁ to C₃ the gradients of the linear segment adjustments (Fig. 3).

The following therefore applies:

$$30 \quad S = \frac{\sum \gamma_i * (C_i - O_i)^2}{\sum \gamma_i}; \quad i = 1..3 \text{ (segment areas)}$$

with γ_i : weighting factors 1...n.

The value range of S is as follows: 0 (abs. continuity), i.e. the gradients of the extrapolation function in the segment centers are identical to the regression lines of the individual segments 45, 48, 52 to $+\infty$. i.e. no gradient correspondence.

5

- Time constancy C of the extrapolations

The time variance of a sequence of extrapolations is an additional and important indicator of predictive dependability, as high

10 variance totals for example of the measure of quality can testify to non-stable extrapolation conditions and therefore questionable significance.

15 The time constancy of an extrapolation can be requested when a fixed measure of quality is predetermined with a variable extent of extrapolation (X_s) or when the extent of extrapolation is fixed - e.g. X_s can correspond to an exceeded fixed limit value - via the then variable confidence factor.

20 Figure 4 shows an example of the time pattern of the measure of quality $K(t)$ with different values 58. For this value pattern an adjustment function q is determined from a curve repertoire.

The respective variables are calculated iteratively, e.g. using the Newton method.

25 Variances are monitored over time using a regression fit (e.g. by a polynomial 1 or higher order, with the order dependent on n) in respect of the last n (e.g. n = 10) time values for extent of extrapolation or confidence factor. In this way values for the variables to be tested, which change in a linear manner over time, can be evaluated with precision in their variability.

30 The evaluation of the time variance of the extent of extrapolation is calculated as follows:

$$C = \frac{\sum \gamma_i * (K(t_i) - q(t))^2}{q_{mitt_k}^2 * \sum \gamma_i}$$

i = number of iterations.

- 5 Here too weighting factors γ_i can evaluate the most current values as different from/higher than the oldest.

Here $q^2 mitt_k$ corresponds to $y^2 mitt_k$.

The value range for C is between C=0 (no temporal scatter of variables, all variable points are on the regression line) and $+\infty$
10 (variable sequence degraded).

- Linking of V, ΔI_{norm} , S and C to measure of quality K

- 15 The measure of quality K links the variables V, ΔI_{norm} , S and C for example as follows:

$$K = \frac{V * \Delta I}{S * C}.$$

- 20 The value range of K is between 0 (extrapolation distorted) and $+\infty$ (extrapolation exact).

K can for example be standardized by means of a non-linear equation:

$$K_{norm} = 1 - e^{-k}.$$

- 25 The installation is for example a rotor of a gas turbine. By determining K of the frequency of rotor parameter, it is ascertained that in a specific period (xs-xn) a limit value will be exceeded without permission. The frequency is therefore controlled downwards.

Figure 5 shows a diagram of a longitudinal section of a gas turbine 1.

A gas turbine 1 is selected as an example of a component for a machine, in which parameters such as temperature, vibration, electrical power or other parameters are measured.

A compressor 7, combustion chamber 10 and turbine component 13 are arranged one behind the other along a shaft 4. The turbine component 13 has a hot gas duct 16. Gas turbine blades 20 are arranged in the hot gas duct 16. Guide blade and runner blade limits are arranged alternately one after the other.

The gas turbine blades 20 are for example cooled by means of a combined air and/or steam cooling system. For this compressor air is for example taken from the compressor 7 and fed to the gas turbine blades 22 via an air supply system 23. Steam is also fed to the gas turbine blades 20 for example via a steam supply system 26.

Figure 6 shows a simplified diagram of the longitudinal section of a turbine component 13. The turbine component 13 has a shaft 4, which extends along an axis of rotation 41.

The turbine component 13 also has an inflow area 49, a blade area 51 and an outflow area 53 one after the other along the axis of rotation 41.

Rotatable runner blades 20 and fixed guide blades 20 are arranged in the blade area 51. The runner blades 20 are attached to the shaft 4, while the guide blades 20 are arranged on a guide blade support 47 around the shaft 4.

A ring-shaped flow duct for a flow medium A, e.g. hot steam, is formed by the shaft 4, the blade area 51 and the guide blade support 47. The inflow area 49 used to feed in the flow medium A is limited in a radial direction by an inflow housing 55 arranged upstream from
5 the guide blade support 47.

An outflow housing 57 is arranged downstream on the guide blade support 47 and limits the outflow area 53 in a radial direction, i.e. perpendicular to the axis of rotation 41. During the operation of the gas turbine 1, the flow medium A flows from the inflow area
10 49 into the blade area 51, where the flow medium works subject to expansion, and then leaves the gas turbine 1 via the outflow area 53. The flow medium A is then collected in a condenser (not shown in more detail in Figure 5) for a steam turbine beyond the outflow housing 57.
15

As it flows through the blade area 51 the flow medium A expands and works on the runner blades 20, causing these to rotate.

Figure 7 shows a perspective view of a runner blade 20, which
20 extends along a radial axis 60. The runner blade has an attachment area 63, an adjacent blade platform 66 and a blade paddle area 69 one after the other along the radial axis 60.

A blade foot 72 is formed in the attachment area 63 and is used to attach the blade 20 to the shaft 4 of a gas turbine 1. The blade
25 foot 72 is for example configured as a hammer head.

With conventional runner blades 20 solid metal materials are used in all areas 63, 66, 69. The runner blades 20 can be manufactured

using a casting method, a forging method, a milling method or combinations of these. The component frequently exhibits defects immediately after manufacture.